Diane Dias De Fazio

Editor's Note: Fact Check

A funny thing happened on the way to the publication, readers. Editing this journal turned me into a kind of legal writer. Kind of. Increasingly, since my tenure's outset, each cycle has provided me with more references to government publications, legislative acts, and case law citations. Who knew that I'd be hunting down executive orders—much less fussing over how to punctuate and capitalize them—more often than I confirm titles and page numbers from SAA publications? Does anyone realistically think there are no politics in special collections anymore? Here we are.

This issue features another thing that I did not anticipate: acronyms. For the record, *RBM* house style applies acronyms sparingly. We will never use "GLAM," neither as a shorthand to connect cultural heritage institutions to libraries and archives, nor even to emphatically declare that something is so glamorous it must be shouted from the rooftops. However, "science, technology, engineering, mathematics, and medicine," as a phrase, is simply a copyeditor's nightmare, and too long to fit neatly within our publishing parameters. Therefore, the acronym "STEMM," highly visible throughout this volume, is one exception.

The board and reviewers read eighteen submissions for this issue and formed a subcommittee that is working to create and provide a policy on generative AI in submissions. We invite everyone to join us for our next open meeting, in January. This editor's note is short for a few reasons, but largely because we remain very busy. Keep checking those sources, friends. This one's for Pye.